

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1480 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,241	07/13/2001	Hiroki Koyama	2282-0142P	8879
2292 7	590 04/25/2005		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THEED CHON	220,00,00		1764	

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
<b></b>	09/889,241	KOYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Walter D. Griffin	1764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	o correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	January 2005.				
· ·	•				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-32 and 34-48</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-32,34 and 46</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-45</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>47 and 48</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ento hava baan rassiyad				
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>		etion No			
	•••				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
And the second					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ury (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	)8) 5) ☐ Notice of Informa 6) ☐ Other: .	l Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					
	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 042205			

Application/Control Number: 09/889,241

Art Unit: 1764

# **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The rejections under 35 USC 102(b) and 103 as applied to the method claims have been withdrawn in view of the amendment filed on January 19, 2005. The prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a method as claimed in which the hydrogen flows countercurrently to the liquid thereby stripping the liquid and also flows cocurrently with the liquid.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 35, 37, 38, 40-43, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cash (US 4,430,203).

The Cash reference discloses a hydrorefining unit that comprises a first and second catalyst layer and a holding member, referred to as a sieve tray, positioned between the first and second catalyst layers. This sieve tray is considered to meet the claimed packing material limitation because sieves are formed with packing material. The unit comprises a hydrogen feed source and a hydrogen introduction part that introduces hydrogen below the holding member and above the second catalyst layer. A separation space for separating vapor from liquid is located above the holding member. This separation space contains a vapor outlet. Since hydrogen is

Art Unit: 1764

added between the layers, the pressure can be adjusted in the space between the layers. The apparatus also includes a means for recycling hydrogen. See column 2, line 11 through column 4, line 31.

Applicants' intended use limitations do not further distinguish the claimed apparatus over the applied reference. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate from a prior art apparatus if the prior art apparatus teaches all of the structural limitations.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 09/889,241

Art Unit: 1764

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 36 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cash (US 4,430,203).

As discussed above, the Cash reference does not disclose an apparatus that includes a flow meter and valve connected to the gas outlet.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the apparatus of Cash by including a flow meter and valve as claimed because Cash discloses the need to measure and control the volume of gas removed from the apparatus. Therefore, one would control and measure using known devices such as meters and valves.

Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cash (US 4,430,203) in view of Graziani et al. (US 4,695,364).

As discussed above, the Cash reference does not disclose the use of a holding tray that has a hole.

The Graziani reference discloses the conventionality of a collection tray with a discharge hole. See column 10, lines 9-13.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the apparatus of Cash by employing a collection tray with a hole because the reference of Graziani illustrates that collection trays with discharge holes are conventional and will provide a function similar to the disclosed sieve trays.

Art Unit: 1764

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 28-34 and 46 are allowed.

Claims 47 and 48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 28-34 and 46 are allowable because the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a method as claimed in which the hydrogen flows countercurrently to the liquid thereby stripping the liquid and also flows cocurrently with the liquid. Claims 47 and 48 are indicated to contain allowable subject matter because the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest an apparatus as claimed in which the holding member is a valve tray.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1764

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1447. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

WG April 22, 2005